Poster Prize Judging Sheet

Name of presenter	
Session	
Name of judge	

Scores: 1 = poor, 2 = lacking, 3 = adequate, 4 = good, 5 = outstanding

Category	**Score (/5)**
Hypothesis Was the hypothesis or question introduced in an accessible manner (to palaeontologists from any subdiscipline)?	
Novelty Was it clear how the hypothesis or question builds on previous work?	
Technical aspects Were the methods adequately explained and appropriate for the results? Were technical terminology and acronyms explained?	
Conclusions Does the data presented represent the findings discussed? Was it clear how the results fitted with the conclusions drawn? Was it clearly stated how the hypothesis or question was addressed by the work presented?	
Context and language Were concepts well explained? Is the language used concise and understandable? ***Please take into consideration that English may not be the first language of the presenter.****	
Use of figures Was there an appropriate ratio of text to figures? Were figures sufficiently annotated to facilitate interpretation? Were figures appropriate and understandable?	
Flow Were headings clearly labelled, with reading order easy to identify? Did the sections lead into each other? Was there a logical flow to the points made?	
Graphic design/Aesthetics Was the poster consistently and clearly laid out? Were all elements clearly legible (poster text, graph axis, units of measurement etc)? Is there appropriate referencing? ***Please note that use of novel graphical elements does not necessarily constitute a high score****	
Total (/40)	

Comments